THE NOTION OF SAMPLE, THE LIMITS OF RIGHTS AND ETHICS

Didier Cerino*, Claude Laborier, Christophe Rallon, Philippe Welsch.

*A.F.I.O. – Association Francaise d’Identification Odontologique

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Where can the ethics be found in this question? Does a carbonized and dismembered body has an identity? The face does not wear the privilege of the identity. What can be thought of the faces transplants? The problem exposed (explained) by the authors is: the reconstruction of the face and the jaws taken in the autopsy in the context of the identification of the persons.

Questioning:
1) The expert obeys the directives of the judge within the framework of his mission or of his requisition.
   The mission stipulates to practice all the necessary takings.
2) When the state of the body is putrefied, carbonized, split, the taking of jaws is compulsory. When the body is fresh and deformed with accessible jaws, the problem of taking can settle. The mission of the expert that must be respected, however the ethics were early on the right (law), we can ask in certain cases the question of the taking jaws under condition that it does not perturb the identification of the person.
3) The techniques of takings are simple, the reconstruction is simple but the question everybody is asking is to know who is going to pay?
4) The position of Interpol
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